SHAMURAILATPAM SUSHIL SHARMA Digitally signed by SHAMURAILATPAM SUSHIL SHARMA
Date: 2024.10.24 11:18:58 +05'30'

Item No. 42

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL

MC(WA) No. 33 of 2024

The Managing Director, MSPDCL and 3 Others

Applicants

Vs.

Gainichung Dangmei

Respondent

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. SIDDHARTH MRIDUL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GOLMEI GAIPHULSHILLU KABUI

ORDER

22.10.2024
Siddharth Mridul, C.J.:

Mr. Th. Vashum, learned GA, appears on behalf of the applicants.

The present application has been instituted on behalf of the applicants under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 read with Chapter-IX of the Manipur High Court Rules, 2019 praying as follows :

> "*In the premises stated above, Your Lordships' may be graciously pleased to consider the reasons given in the foregoing paras and circumstances stated therein as the sufficient cause for condoning the unintentional delay of 79* ***days*** *only in filing the accompanying Writ Appeal and the same be heard on merit after condoning the delay as the ends of justice may call for.*"

Issue notice to the respondents.

Mr. S. Thoi Thoi Meitei, learned counsel, appears through Video Conferencing and accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicants and fairly does not oppose to the limited relief sought for in the application.

In view of the foregoing as well as the averments made in the application, the delay of 79 (seventy-nine) days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned in the interest of justice.

The application is allowed and disposed of.

The Registry is directed to number the appeal, if it is found to be in order and list the appeal for admission.

JUDGE **CHIEF JUSTICE**

Sushil