

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8583 of 2018

1. Arun Kumar Jha, Son of Sri Indra Shekhar Jha, Resident of Village P.O.-Basauna, P.S.-Bangaon, District-Saharsa.
2. Bhal Chandra Roy, Son of Late Debu Roy, Resident of Village-Baghwa P.O.-Gopalpur, P.S.-Bakhtiyarpur, District-saharsa.
3. Indradeo Rishideo, Son of Moti Rishideo, Resident of VillageP.O.-Paima, P.S.-Saur Bazar, District-Saharsa.
4. Nitu Kumari Wife of Uma Shankar Yadav, Resident of Village-Jirba, P.O.-Pastpar, P.S.-Saur Bazar, District-Saharsa.
5. Dinesh Rishideo, Son of Parmeshwari Rishideo, Resident of Village-Jirba, P.O.-Pastpar, P.S.-Saur Bazar, District-Saharsa.
6. Chandra Shekhar Sada, Son of Bhuvneshwar Sada, Resident of Village P.O.-Golma, Tola-Bathnaha, P.S.-Saur Bazar, District-Sajarsa.
7. Durganand Thakur, Son of Late babu Kant Thakur, Resident of Village-Bhakua Punarwas, P.O.-Mohanpur, P.S.-Nauhatta, District-Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Mass Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Saharsa.
5. The District Education Officer, Saharsa,

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Smt. Shilpa Singh (GA-12)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 14-05-2024 Upon repeated calls, no one appears on behalf of the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the State is present.

2. Learned counsel for the State submits that this case may be decided in the light of order passed in Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.809 of 2012 followed by judgment dated



05.07.2023 rendered by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in Civil Review No.59 of 2018 (The State of Bihar & Ors. Vs. Harendra Mishra & Ors.) and the same may be disposed off accordingly. The operative portion of the said judgment is extracted as under:-

“As has been discussed above, as the writ petitioners had admittedly not approached this Court or the Supreme Court on or before 26.02.2016, no relief could have been granted to them. The writ petition filed by them, i.e., CWJC No. 384 of 2017, deserved to be dismissed. Accordingly, we set aside the order dated 03.03.2017 passed in CWJC No. 384 of 2017.”

3. In this view of the matter, the present writ petition is hereby disposed off.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

