

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.91 of 2024

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10172 of 2022

=====

Mahendra Narayan Pandey Son of Late Tophi Pandey Resident of Village and
P.O.- Ghorbanki, P.S. and Block- Basopatti, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Sri Amir Subhani (male), son of not known to the petitioner presently posted as the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri K.K. Pathak, Son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the Additional Chief Secretary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Kanhaiya Prasad Srivastava, Son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the Special Director, Secondary Education Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Rajesh Kumar, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently posted as the District Education Officer, Madhubani, District- Madhubani.
5. Sri Javed Alam, Son of not known to the petitioner Presently posted as the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani, District Madhubani.
6. Sri Bhola Yadav, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently posted as the Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
7. Sri Amar Bhushan, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently posted as the Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
8. Sri Krishna Chandra Sharma, Son of not known to the petitioner, Presently posted as the Headmaster, Brahmarshi Prathmik Sah Madhya Sanskrit Vidyalaya Village- Panchratna, P.O.- Ghorbanki, Block- Basopatti, District - Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Hitesh Suman, AC to SC-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 19-07-2024 Heard Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Hitesh Suman,

 learned AC to SC-13 for the State.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner informs that substantial amount of payment relating to retiral dues, as claimed by the petitioner has been paid in compliance of the order dated 07.08.2023 passed in CWJC No. 10172 of 2022, however, the petitioner has not been paid a sum of Rs. 50,000/- and in respect of payment and non-payment of Rs. 50,000/-, the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani, has not provided the calculation chart to the petitioner.

3. Considering the aforesaid fact that substantial amount has been paid to the petitioner, the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani, is directed to provide the petitioner with calculation chart and make payment of remaining amount to which the petitioner is entitled for, in accordance with law.

4. In case of failure, the petitioner may take appropriate action against the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Madhubani, in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

