

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1012 of 2024

1. Shiv Narayan Jha Son of Late Jagdish Jha Resident of Village-Gehuma Bairiya, Police Station- Phulparas, District-Madhubani
2. Jagdish Yadav Son of Late Madhu Yadav Resident of Village-Gehuma Bairiya, Police Station- Phulparas, District-Madhubani
3. Ghuran Thakur Son of Late Tetar Thakur Resident of Village- Gehuma Bairiya, Police Station- Phulparas, District- Madhubani
4. Ram Chandra Jha son of Late Kailu Jha Resident of Village- Gehuma Bairiya, Police Station- Phulparas, District- Madhubani
5. Vinod Kumar Singh Son of Late Surya Narayan Singh Resident of Village-Belha, Police Station- Phulparas, District- Madhubani
6. Ashok Kumar Jha Son Of Late Sristi Narayan Jha Resident of Village-Brahmapur, Police Station- Phuparas, District- Madhubani
7. Lal Dai Wife of Late Prabodh Pathak Resident of Village- Mansapur, Police Station- Laukhi, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Special Director, Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer- Cum- District Planning Officer, Patna.
5. The District Programme Officer, Madhubani.
6. The Chairman, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
7. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Hemant Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Addl. Advocate General 7
For the Board	:	Mr. S. S. Sundaram, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-07-2024

Heard the parties.

2. Petitioners, who are retired teaching employees of



different Sanskrit Uchcha Vidyalaya approached before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a direction upon the respondent to ensure payment of post retiral dues in the form of deductions of Dearness Allowance and the salary deposited in the General Provident Fund account of the petitioners under “Essential Deposit Plan” along with statutory interest.

3. At the outset, learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the identical set of Assistant Teachers approached before this Court in CWJC No. 11156 of 2017, CWJC No. 15196 of 2019 and CWJC No. 7109 of 2023 and the writ petitions have been disposed of with a direction to the concerned respondent to examine the individual claim of the petitioners, in the light of the judgment/order relied by the petitioners to ensure the admissible amount along with statutory interest within a period of six weeks. The writ petitioners seek identical relief as to those of the writ petitioners, whose cases have been disposed of by this Court.

4. Considering the limited grievance of the petitioners, the writ petition stands disposed of in similar terms of the order as has been passed in the aforementioned cases.

5. Needless to observe that the case of the petitioners



shall be examined by the respondent authorities. If the claim of the petitioners shall be found identical to the petitioners of aforenoted writ petition, similar benefits shall be accorded to them within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	24.07.2024.
Transmission Date	NA

