

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7092 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2023 Thana- NOORSARAI District- Nalanda

SANJU RAVIDAS @ SANJAY RAVIDAS S/O- RAJENDRA RAVIDAS
R/O- VILLAGE- ANDHANA, P.S.- NOORSARAI, DIST.- NALANDA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR, PATNA

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 21-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 199 of 2023 registered for the offences
punishable under Section 392 of the IPC.

3. As per prosecution case, three unknown persons are
said to have concertedly looted E-Rickshaw and mobile phone
of the informant and fled away from the place of occurrence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR. Petitioner was initially
arrested in Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 208 of 2023 and later on
remanded in all the other cases including the instant case. He
further submits that petitioner is a khalasi working with different
owner of Toto Rickshaw and a quarrel took place between



petitioner and informant due to which the informant lodged the instant case against the petitioner. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 08.06.2023 and bears criminal antecedent of four cases.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of impugned order it is evident that in TIP parade the informant has identified the petitioner and petitioner has got criminal antecedent of four cases which are more or less of similar nature. He further submits that bail prayer of co-accused Md. Israil has already been rejected by this Court vide Cr. Misc. No. 3671 of 2024 and the case of the present petitioner is identically same.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner as well as the material available on record, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. However, the learned trial court is directed to conclude the trial within six months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order to the court concerned. If the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period,



petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

