

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2876 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2023 Thana- KAJRA District- Lakhisarai

=====

Rajendra Kumar @ Rajendra Kumar Mandal Son Of Baleshwar Mandal
Resident Of Village - Arma, P.S. - Kajra, District - Lakhisarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md Irshad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satya Nand Shukla, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

4 17-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 73 of 2023 dated 30.05.2023 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 302, 201, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(1-b)a, 26 and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner who is the husband of the deceased after consulting with his adopted son, namely, Sourav Kumar and his sister-in-law namely, Sudha Shree shot his wife dead on account of love affair with Sudha Shree while his wife was sleeping and he hid the pistol and cartridge in a room. Thereafter, the petitioner was handing over the same to his son Sourav Kumar then police saw and caught him.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner has surfaced in this case merely on suspicion. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner are in custody since 31.05.2023 and he has no illicit relationship with Sudha Shree.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the specific allegation is against the petitioner who shot his wife dead on account of love affair with Sudha Shree.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the Specific and heinous nature of allegation against the petitioner and finding no force in the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.

7. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

8. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

