

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2245 of 2024

Tabbasum Araa Wife of Sabir Ali Resident of Village- Pokhariya Ray, Ward
No. 10, P.S. Chanpatia, District- West Champaran at Bettiah.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme (I.C.D.S.), Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner Tirhut Division, Muzaffarpur.
4. The Collector, West Champaran.
5. The District Programme Officer, West Champaran, Bettiah.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Block Chanpatia, District- West Champaran.
7. The Female Supervisor, Child Development Programme Office, Block Chanpatia, District- West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Akhilesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Standing Counsel 13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief:-

“1. That this is an application for issuance of appropriate writ/ writs, order/ orders, direction/ directions to the concerned respondent authorities for selection/ appointment of the petitioner on the post of Anganbari sevika in ward no. 10, Anganwabi centre namely Pokhariya Ray Anganbari centre no. 263 under Gram Panchayat Raj Bhaisahi Pokhariya in Block Chanpatia, District- West Champaran where the petitioner has applied for appointment



of Anganbari sevika and in the merit list prepared by the respondent authorities in which the name of the petitioner finds place at serial no. 2, however one Savita Kumari, whose name finds place at serial no. 1 in the aforesaid merit list her selection/appointment has already been cancelled by the respondent Child Development Programme Officer, Chanpatia vide letter No. 1144 dated 30.12.2022 in light of verification report of her Educational Certificate vide letter No. 1224 dated 23.12.2022 issued by Bihar Sanskrit Siksha Boare Patna that her educational certificate are forged and the same has not been issued by the aforesaid Board.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

