

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3291 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-131 Year-2023 Thana- GURARU District- Gaya

=====

PURUSHOTTAM KUMAR S/O BHOLA MISTRY R/O VILLAGE-
BAHERA, P.S- GURARU, DISTT.- GAYA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Sharma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

2 07-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Guraru P.S. Case No. 131 of 2023 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections-354, 386, 306, 34 of the IPC.

3. Prosecution case in short is that the informant used
to study in the coaching centre of the petitioner. It is further
alleged that the petitioner tried to misbehave with the informant
and while she protested, the petitioner threatened her to viral her
photo on social media. It is further alleged that while the
marriage of the informant was settled, the petitioner sent a letter
to her matrimonial house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner is quite innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case due to dirty village politics. The FIR has been lodged after 17 days of the alleged occurrence and no explanation has been given for such delay. The informant had been studying in the coaching centre of the petitioner since her childhood but no iota of any claim or counter claim has been made against the petitioner.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecution opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the petitioner being the teacher, blackmailed the female student and also threatened to upload the pictures on social media platform. As per allegation, not only the informant but other girl students of the coaching were also victimized, at the hands of the petitioner.

6. Considering the above-said facts and circumstances, I do not think it a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail which is, hereby, rejected.

7. However, if the petitioner surrenders in the court below and seeks regular bail, the same shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

A.K.V.//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

