

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7783 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-27 Year-2020 Thana- MAIGRA District- Gaya

1. Rahul Yadav @ Raj Kumar Yadav Son of Chaturi Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. Maigra, - District – Gaya.
2. Bikash Yadav @ Vikash Kumar Son Chaturi Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. - Maigra, District – Gaya.
3. Suresh Yadav Son of Chaturi Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. - Maigra, District – Gaya.
4. Chaturi yadav Son of Late Gobardhan Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. Maigra, - District – Gaya.
5. Kamlesh Yadav Son Kail Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. Maigra, - District – Gaya.
6. Naresh Yadav Son of Ram Sharan Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. Maigra, - District – Gaya.
7. Obita Devi @ Babita Devi Wife of Kamlesh Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. Maigra, - District – Gaya.
8. Jhalura Devi Wife of Chaturi Devi, Resident Of Village - Sewra, P.S. - Maigra, District – Gaya.
9. Yadunadan Yadav Son of Late Ram Sharan Yadav, Resident of Village - Sewra, P.S. Maigra, - District – Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Javed Jafar Khan, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, APP.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      17-02-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection  
with Maigra P.S. Case No.27 of 2020 instituted under Sections  
147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 308, 354, 379, 504, 506 of the Indian  
Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the accused persons  
including the petitioner armed with deadly weapons came and



started assaulting the informant and his family members.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is land dispute between the parties and also there is case and counter case between the parties. Learned counsel submits that no injury report is available on the record and the F.I.R. has been lodged after delay of two days. He further submits that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks from today, the petitioners be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount to each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Gaya in connection with Maigra P.S. Case No.27 of 2020, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

**(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)**

Ritik/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

