

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12977 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-294 Year-2023 Thana- SAMASTIPUR MUFFASIL District-
Samastipur

Virchandra Kumar @ Langra, Male, aged about 26 years, S/O Visheshwar
Ray, R/O Village- Araiya East Tola, Araiya, Patori, Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Bhushan, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Fahimuddin, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 294 of 2023 registered for an offence
punishable under Sections 399, 402, 411 and 414 of the Indian
Penal Code, Sections 8/20(b)(ii) of the Bihar NDPS Act and
Sections 25(1-B)a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per allegation in the FIR from the possession of
the petitioner one country made *katta* along with one live
cartridge as well as 1.5 kg. of ganja have been recovered by the
police.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and nothing



has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2023.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. On perusal of the first information report and seizure list, it appears that the petitioner is named in the FIR and after perusal of the seizure list, it also appears that one country made pistol and 1.5 kg. of ganja recovered from the possession of the petitioner, petitioner having two criminal antecedent in the same nature of offence as stated in para-4 of the supplementary affidavit, considering which, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

8. However, the trial court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of one year from the receipt of a copy of this order and if the trial is not concluded within the stipulated time, the petitioner may have liberty to renew his prayer for bail before the trial Court.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

