

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10216 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-164 Year-2023 Thana- MATIHANI District- Begusarai

=====

Krishna Murari Kumar @ Ganauri Sah @ Ganauri Son Of Late Balmiki Sah  
Resident of Village - Chakaur, P.S. - Matihani, Dist. - Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sarvottam Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akbar Ali, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      26-02-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Matihani P.S. Case No. 164 of 2023 dated 05.11.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) and 30(c) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 39 litres of illicit liquor, 75 pieces of empty bottles, 1 iron machine and emperial blue liquor sticker were recovered from the house of the co-accused, Dinkar Choudhary.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents as stated



in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the apprehended person. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery rather the recovery has been made from the house of the co-accused, Dinkar Choudhary. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Begusarai in connection with Matihani P.S. Case No. 164 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

Gautam/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

