

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.414 of 2024

=====

Pramila Devi @ Pramila Kumari wife of Chandan Kumar Ray, resident of village Jurawanpur Barari, Ward No. 6, P.O. and P.S. Jurawanpur District - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur.
4. The District Programme Officer (I.C.D.S.), District - Vaishali.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Block-Raghopur, District - Vaishali.
6. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Jurawanpur Barari, Block - Raghopur, District - Vaishali.
7. Kumari Seema Rani, wife of Brajbhushan Kumar, resident of village - Harsidhi Kanu Tola, Ward No. 2, P.S. - Harsidhi, District - East Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Akshansh Ankit
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“1(a). To quash the order dated 30.12.2022 passed in Case No. 43/ 2019 (appointment) issued by the Respondent No. 4 whereby and whereunder the selection of the Petitioner on the post of Anganwadi Sevika at Anganwadi Centre No. 236 (Panchayat Jurawanpur Barari) has been cancelled.

(b). To quash the order as contained in Memo No.



13 dated 16.01.2023 issued by the Respondent No. 5 whereby and whereunder the selection of the Petitioner on the post of Anganwadi Sevika Anganwadi Centre No. at 236 ((Panchayat-Jurawanpur Barari) has been cancelled from the date of selection and a consequential direction upon the Respondents to allow the Petitioner on the post of Anganwadi Sevika of Anganwadi Centre No. 236 (Panchayat Jurawanpur Barari) along with all consequential and monetary benefits.

(c). To pass any other order/orders in shape of a consequential relief to which the Petitioner may be found to be legally entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the instant case at hand.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

