

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3671 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2023 Thana- NOORSARAI District- Nalanda

Md. Israil S/O Md. Jalaluddin Village- Andhana, Ps. Noorsarai, Dist. Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Lal Verma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Noorsarai P.S. Case No. 199/2023 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per prosecution case, petitioner and others are
said to have looted E-Rickshaw and mobile phone of the
informant and fled away from the place of occurrence and hence
FIR was lodged against unknown.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged
in the FIR and he has falsely been implicated in this case. It is
further submitted that petitioner is a Khalasi working with
different owners of E-Rickshaw. A quarrel took place between
the petitioner and informant due to which the informant lodged



the instant case against the petitioner. Petitioner is in custody since 08.06.2023. Petitioner bears criminal antecedent of four cases.

The learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of impugned order it is evident that in TIP parade the informant has identified the petitioner and petitioner has got criminal antecedent of four cases which are more or less of similar nature.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner as well as the material available on record, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

However, the learned trial court is directed to conclude the trial within six months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order to the court concerned. If the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

asmit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

