

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5163 of 2024

Ajay Kumar Singh Son of Late Braj Bhushan Pd. Singh @ Brajbhushan Singh Resident of Village-Chapar, (Jorawar), Post-Chapar, P.S. Mohiuddin Nagar, District-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Directorate of Secondary Education, Patna, New Secretariat, Vikash Bhawan. Patna.
4. The State Project Director (SPD), Bihar Education Project Council, Shiksha Bhawan, Campus of Rastrabhasha Parishad, Saidpur, Rajendra Nagar, Patna-800004.
5. The General Manager, Bihar State Education Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited, Shiksha Bhawan, Bihar Rastrabhasha Parishad, Campus, Acharya Shiv Pujan Sahay Path, Patna, Bihar-800004.
6. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
7. The District Education Officer, Samastipur.
8. The Director (Education), Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
9. The District Programme Officer (High School/Secondary Education), Samastipur.
10. The Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Executive Officer, Jila Parishad, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Surendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate
		Mr. Niranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. P K Shahi, AG
		Mr. Avnish Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Girijish Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR)

Date : 22-03-2024

The very concern of the petitioner, who is claiming



himself to be a social worker is confined to a direction upon the respondents to ensure construction of school buildings, class rooms, play-grounds, libraries, toilets, drinking water facilities, electricity facilities, science laboratories and other necessary infrastructure required for running a model school.

2. Various resolutions of the State Government have been referred to in order to strengthen the submissions put forth before this Court. It is submitted that despite all the aforesaid resolutions, the state machinery has completely failed to provide proper infrastructure to the schools, which is the need of the hour. It has further been contended that the petitioner made several representations before all the authorities concerned, but the progress in this regard is still not up to the mark.

3. We have heard the parties. It would be worth mentioning here that identical writ petitions by way of public interest litigation are being filed repeatedly before this Court, burdening the docket and this Court is pained to observe that the same is being filed without any sincere efforts or verification of the necessary facts as also lacking genuine public interest. Identical writ petitions are pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as this Court where proper monitoring is being done and had the petitioner still any grievance, he should have



intervened in the matter, if he is genuinely interested to extend assistance.

4. This Court deprecates multifarious litigation on one issue, which is already being monitored by the Courts. In that view of the matter, it would not only be inequitable to proceed with the same, since it would lead to multiple orders, resulting into stalling the progress. The present writ petition stands dismissed.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	28.03.2024.
Transmission Date	NA

