

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3774 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-158 Year-2023 Thana- SARMERA District- Nalanda

=====

Yugeshwar Kewat @ Jugeshwar Kewat S/O- Late Jagdev Kewat @ Jagdev
Mallah R/O- Vill- Mohadipur, Ps- Sarmera, Dist- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Biresh Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Syed Mojibur Rahman

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

2 01-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
instituted for the offence under Sections 467, 468, 471, 419, 420
of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is of preparing
forged and fabricated rent receipt, L.P.C. and to obtain
fraudulently crop compensation and land acquisition of the
informant's land from GAIL company.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He has



committed no offence. He submitted that petitioner is legal owner of the Khata No. 367, Plot No. 577, Area 15 decimal because that land in the name of Ganesh Mallah, who is grandfather of the petitioner and that land was in the peaceful possession of the petitioner and government rent also paid the petitioner till 2022. He submitted that on 24.12.2018 learned A.D.M., Nalanda ordered to cancel the Jamabandi No. 133/227 of the petitioner without proper verification of the relevant paper/documents without using judicial mind, then petitioner filed an appeal before the Court of the District Magistrate, Nalanda on 08.02.2019, Jamabandi cancellation Case No. 22 of 2019, which is pending for hearing till today. He further submitted on the basis of Government record Khatiyan and Jamabandi, Circle Officer Sarmera and Halka Karmchari issued the L.P.C. is not forged or illegal. He is languishing in judicial custody since 25.08.2023.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as period of custody, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged



on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned in connection with Sarmera P.S. Case No. 158 of 2023.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Arish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

