

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.583 of 2024

=====

Vishal Kumar Son of Om Prakash Chaudhary, Permanent Address- Village- Godhna Road, Gautam Budh Nagar, P.S.- Nawada, District- Bhojpur (Ara), Pin- 802302, Present Address- Nalanda Colony Ramchandrapur, P.S. Laheri, District- Nalanda (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Managing Director, Punjab National Bank, Plot No. 4, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075.
2. The Zonal Head, Punjab National Bank, R-Block, 2nd Floor, Near Chanakya Hotel, Veer Chand Patel Path, Patna.
3. The Regional Manager, Punjab National Bank, Division Office, Ram Chandrapur, Biharsharif, Nalanda-802316.
4. The Chief Manager (HR), Punjab National Bank, Division Office, Ram Chandrapur, Biharsharif, Nalanda-802316.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhanesh Shankar Vidyarthi, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

*“1. That this is an application for
issuance of a Writ of Mandamus
directing the respondents to select
and appoint the petitioner on the
post of Part Time Sweeper (PTS)
against the vacancy published in
the local newspaper dated
19.03.2021 and 20.03.2021 and on
basis the petitioner's candidature
was found fit for the said vacant*



post and the respondent no. 4 do issued a letter dated 18.05.2021 for verification of the original certificates and the Hindi written and reading test. The respondent again found the petitioner eligible showing the merit list at Sl. No. 7 on 10.06.2021 and thus he was selected on 10.06.2021 and instructed to join the post on 21.06.2021 at the office of respondent no. 4 but his joining was not accepted for the reason best known to them the respondents 2, 3 and 4 were lastly informed of their irregularity till dated through his counsel legal notice on 08.06.2023 but they are sitting tight over the matter.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of approaching the Ld. Labour Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is



granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

