

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.297 of 2024

=====

Kaushal Kumar son of Late Parshuram Sharma, permanent resident of Village and Post-Kaswan, District-Jehanabad, presently residing at Mohalla-Mahalchak, Pani Tanki, in front of Kumar Press, PO and PS-Jehanabad, District-Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Jehanabad.
2. The Additional District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. Circle Officer, Ratni Faridpur, District-Jehanabad.
4. Dinesh Kumar, son of Parsuram Singh, resident of Village and Post-Kaswan, District-Jehanabad.
5. Kanti Devi, Wife of Mahesh Kumar, resident of Village and Post-Kaswan, District-Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ratnakar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader 2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-04-2024 Heard Mr. Ratnakar Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and learned APP for the State.

This writ petition is being filed on behalf of the

petitioner seeks indulgence of this Hon'ble Court for the

following reliefs: -

*(i) To issue a writ of mandamus or any other
appropriate writ/order/direction directing
the Respondent No.2 the Additional District
Magistrate, Jehanabad to decide the
Jamabandi Cancellation Case No.32/2022-*



*23 within a reasonable time as framed by
this Hon'ble court.*

*(ii) To grant any other relief or reliefs for
which the petitioner found entitled to in the
facts and circumstances of the case.*

3. It is unfortunate that for taking the Jamabandi Cancellation Case No. 32/2022-23 to its logical conclusion, the petitioner has to approach the High Court.

4. A bare perusal of the same would show that the Additional District Magistrate, Jehanabad (respondent no.2) is sitting over the matter and not deciding the same.

5. In that circumstance, the writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent no.2 (Additional District Magistrate, Jehanabad) to take the Jamabandi Cancellation Case No. 32/2022-23 to its logical conclusion within a period of four months from the day the copy of the order is provided to the office.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Guddu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

