

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13668 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2018 Thana- NIRMALI District- Supaul

Md. Ijrail @ Ajjo, S/o Late Birkha Miya, R/o vill-Majhari, P.S-Nirmali,
Distt.-Supaul, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Nitu Kumari, Advocate Mr.Jitendra Kumar Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

3 14-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner in this case is seeking regular bail in connection with Nirmali P.S. Case No.52 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution story, the informant, who is a bus driver, alleged that on 14.04.2018 at about 8.30 PM when his bus crossed Bhutaha Chowk, in the meantime, 10-12 unknown miscreants overtook the bus and then 5-6 miscreants having weapons in their hand entered into the bus and committed loot of money and mobile phones of the passengers. It is alleged that when the footage of CCTV installed in the bus

was seen by the local persons, they identified the miscreants as Md. Ijrail @ Ajjo (petitioner), Mukesh Yadav, Sanjay Yadav and Petrol Yadav.

4. In paragraph '3' of the petition, the petitioner has disclosed altogether four criminal antecedents but on going through the case diary, this Court finds that the officer in-charge of Nirmali Police Station, Supaul has reported vide Memo No.594 dated 02.04.2024 that the petitioner has got 13 criminal antecedents. The report shows that he is an accused in the cases under Sections 395, 392, 307 and 302 of the Indian Penal Code as also under the provisions of the Arms Act.

5. In the present case, it has come that he was involved in looting the passengers of the bus and his image has been captured in the CCTV footage.

6. In the kind of the materials noticed above and the criminal antecedents, the petitioner would not deserve privilege of regular bail. Prayer is refused.

7. For not declaring the complete criminal antecedent by the petitioner, this Court would further impose a cost of Rs.5,000/- upon him which will be deposited within four weeks from today with the Patna High Court Legal Services Authority by the deponent, namely, Fida Hushain, failing which the same

would be realized from him in accordance with law.

8. This application stands dismissed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--