

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1960 of 2024

Pushpa Kumari W/o Mohan Sharma R/o village - Laxmipur, P.O. -
Dhumnagar, P.S. and Block - Azamnagar, Dist. - Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Department of Social Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Social Welfare, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner Purnea.
5. The District Magistrate Cum Collector, Katihar.
6. The District Programme Officer (ICDS), Katihar, Dist. - Katihar.
7. The Child Development Project Officer (CDPO), Azamnagar, Block - Azamnagar, Dist. - Katihar.
8. Parwati Kumari, W/o Mithilesh Sharma, R/o village Laxmipur, P.O. - Dhumnagar, P.S. and Block - Azamnagar, Dist. - Katihar.
9. Sweety, W/o Raju Sharma, R/o village - Laxmipur, P.O.- Dhumnagar, P.S. and Block - Azamnagar, Dist. - Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Md Qumrul Hoda, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Ajay Behari Sinha, GA-8
		Mr.Neeraj Raj, AC to GA-8

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1. That, this writ application is being filed against the order dated 11-03-2023 passed by the Divisional Commissioner Purnea in Miscellaneous Anganbari Revision no. 30/2019 whereby and whereunder the case of the petitioner has been dismissed and it is further prayed for quashing the selection/appointment letter dated 20-03-2021 issued



by respondent CDPO (Child Development Project Officer) Azamnagar whereby and whereunder respondent no. 9 has been appointed on the post of Anganbari Sevika and it is further prayed for a direction to the concerned respondent authorities to appoint the petitioner by terminating the appointment of said respondent no.-9 namely Sweety on the post of Anganbari Sevika at ward no.-3, Gram Panchayat Raj Devgaon, Block-Azamnagar, Dist.-Katihar (as the petitioner is fully eligible candidate for the said post).”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction for redressal of her aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

