

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
REQUEST CASE No.118 of 2023

=====

M/s. Vivek Transport Agency through its proprietor Mr. Kumar Vivek, Male,
Aged about 38 years, Son of Anil Kumar, Resident of Near Murgi Farm,
Moh- Bich Bazar, Saraia, District- Nalanda, Pin-803118.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India through The Central Warehousing Corporation, a Govt. of India Undertaking, 4/1, Siri Institutional Area, August Kranti Marg, Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110016.
2. The Managing Director, Central Warehousing Corporation, a Govt. of India Undertaking, 4/1, Siri Institutional Area, August Kranti Marg, Hauz Khas, New Delhi- 110016.
3. The Regional Manager, Central Warehousing Corporation, a Govt. of India Undertaking, Regional Office, Patna.
4. The Manager (General) and Presenting Officer, Central Warehousing Corporation, Regional Office, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Harsh Kaushal, Advocate
For the CWHC	:	Mr. Deepak Sahay Jamuar, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Dr. Krishna Nandan Singh (ASG)
		Mr. Mukesh Kumar CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 05-07-2024

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This application has been moved seeking appointment of an Arbitrator invoking the powers of this Court under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

3. Petitioner and the respondents entered into an agreement dated 24.06.2020 (Annexure-P/2). The petitioner invoked the arbitration clause vide communication dated



21.11.2023 (Annexure-P/17) for appointment of an arbitrator, but to no avail.

4. It is pleaded that the respondents have not settled the dispute till date and the dispute is of civil in nature.

5. Today, there is no dispute about-(a) the legality, validity and binding effect of the written agreement entered into between the parties to the lis; (b) the existence of arbitration clause contained therein; (c) the existence of dispute(s) arising there from; (d) the dispute arising out of the agreement being civil in nature; (e) no legal impediment in the adjudication of the dispute by the learned Arbitrator; (f) Petitioner having exhausted the channel available for resolution of the dispute; (g) the respondent having failed to appoint an Arbitrator pursuant to the invocation of the arbitration clause by the petitioner.

6. As such, **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Samarendra Pratap Singh**, a former Judge of the Patna High Court, is appointed as learned Arbitrator to adjudicate all disputes arising out of agreement entered into between the parties to the lis.

7. All pleas and issues raised, on merits, are left open to be considered and decided by the learned Arbitrator.

8. The learned Arbitrator shall be entitled to fee as per the schedule of the Act.



9. Since the dispute arises out of an agreement of the year 2020, the hearing be expedited.
10. The issue of limitation, if any, is left open to be raised before the Arbitral Tribunal.
11. Joint Registrar (List) is directed to communicate the order to the learned Arbitrator.
12. Learned counsel for the parties also undertake to communicate the order to the learned Arbitrator.
13. The Arbitral Tribunal shall issue notice to the respondents.
14. The Request Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(K. Vinod Chandran, CJ)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

