

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1320 of 2024

M/s Narotam Kumar through its Sole Proprietor Narotam Kumar aged about 31 years, Male S/o Sri Kaushlendra Singh, R/o Village- Barah, P.O.- Bhuapur, District- Patna (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary to the Government of Bihar, in the Department of Minor Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer to the Government of Bihar, in the Department of Minor Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Nalanda.
6. The Registrar of Companies, Bihar having its office at Maurya Lok Complex, Block A, 4th Floor, Dak Bungalow Road, Patna.
7. The Assistant Registrar of Companies, Bihar -cum- Assistant Official Liquidator, High Court, Patna having its office at Maurya Lok Complex, Block A, 4th Floor, Dak Bungalow Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shashi Shekhar Kumar Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (3)
For the State	:	Mr. Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC-3
		Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY
ORAL ORDER

8 20-09-2024 The present writ petition is disposed of with the consent of both the parties at the stage of admission.

2. Without going into the merits or demerits of the case and having regard to the fact that the petitioner has already made a representation to the Chief Engineer i.e. Respondent No. 3 ventilating his grievance and bringing to his notice the forgery



committed by the Director of Yuvraj Engicons Private Limited (Annexure- P4) showing that the firm of the petitioner has merged with the Yuvraj Engicons Private Limited. The application obtained from the Registrar of companies (Annexure- P3) by the petitioner does not show that any such merger has taken place. The Respondent No. 3 is directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner duly taking into consideration the Annexure- P3 and Annexure- P4 and pass necessary orders. The entire exercise shall be completed as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case the Respondent No. 3 comes to the conclusion that the Annexure- P4 is a forged document then necessary orders for entering into the agreement with the petitioner shall be done at the earliest.

3. With the above directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(A. Abhishek Reddy , J)

Gauravkr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

