

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18643 of 2023**

=====

Shambhu Nath Jha S/o Late Surya Narayan Jha Resident of Village- Suro, Ojhatol, P.S.- Bachhwara, Dist. - Begusarai, presently working as Headmaster, at Sri Mahavir Snaskrit High School, Dalsinghsarai, Samastipur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Special Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, patna.
3. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Back of Harding Road, House No.-17, Patna.
4. The District Education Officer, Samastipur.
5. The District Programme Officer (Est.), Samastipur.
6. The District Programme Officer, (Madhyamik Education and Literacy) Samastipur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Dalsingh Sarai, Samastipur.
8. Sunil Kumar S/o Late Ramdeo Ishwar R/O Village and P.O.- Maranchi, P.S.- Bachhawara, District- Begusarai, Secretary of the Managing Committee of Mahavir Sanskrit High School Dalsinghsarai, District- Samastipur.
9. Dilip Kumar Sinha S/O Late Narmdeshwar Prasad Sinha Resident of Ward No.11, Baida, Palamu Tetrain, Jharkhand at present In-charge Head Master, Sri Mahavir Sanskrit High School Dalsinghsarai, District- Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                            |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr.Sunil Kumar             |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Government Pleader (6)  |
|                      |   | Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 20-04-2024**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel  
for the State and learned counsel for the Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha  
Board.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner's case is fully covered by the judgment passed by this Court in L.P.A. No. 43 of 2016 and analogous cases.

3. Considering the aforesaid facts, since the case of the petitioner stands on similar footing to that of the petitioners of L.P.A. No. 43 of 2016, there is no requirement to keep pending the present writ application in want of counter-affidavit.

4. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to file a detailed representation along with a copy of the order passed in the L.P.A. No. 43 of 2016, before the District Programme Officer (Est.), Samastipur (respondent No. 5) within a period of four weeks.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent no.5 is directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner by passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing of such representation by the petitioner and respondent no.5 is directed to personally hear the petitioner or his counsel and pay the claim/admitted dues of the petitioner.

7. The respondent no.5 is directed to ensure compliance of this order and if the representation of the petitioner is not considered within the stipulated period, the respondent no.5 shall be personally held responsible for non-compliance of the



order of this Court.

8. With the aforesaid directions, this writ application is  
hereby disposed of.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

divyanshi/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 30.04.2024 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

