

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.378 of 2024

=====

Md. Salim S/o- Shah Rajjak, R/o- Village and P.O. and P.S.- Sanhoula,
District-Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary Social Welfare Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar,
Patna.
3. The Commissioner Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
4. The District Magistrate Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Kahangoan, District- Bhagalpur.
6. The Block Development Officer, Sanhoula, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Ranjan
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader 3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2024 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following reliefs:-

“1(i). For quashing the order as contained in
memo no. 1148 dated 23.07.2018 issued under
the signature of District Magistrate, Bhagalpur,
whereby and whereunder the legitimate claim of
the petitioner for the work done by him has been
rejected in most arbitrary and illegal manner.

(ii). For directing and commanding the
responding authorities for making payment of the
work done by the petitioner for the period
02.04.2005 to 2009 as cleaner in the Circle
office-cum-Block office Sanhoula, to the
satisfaction of respondent authorities.



(iii). For making payment of entire amount of the work done by the petitioner along with penal interest from date it became dues till actual date of payment.

(iv). For making payment of cost of litigation taking into consideration the fact that after taking work from the payment has not been made to petitioner on one pretext or the other (due to paucity of funds) and petitioner has been compelled to approach before this Hon'ble court and the legitimate claim of the petitioner has been rejected only to harass the petitioner and with a view to frustrate the genuine claim of the petitioner.”

2. After some arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law including that of filing a suit before the learned Civil Court having competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Ajay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

