

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1538 of 2024

=====

Anjum Saghira Wife of Firoj Ali, resident of Village-Bagaura, Police Station-Daraunda, District-Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, I.C.D.S. Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra.
4. The Collector and District Magistrate, Siwan.
5. The District Programme Officer, Siwan.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Daraunda, District-Siwan.
7. The Ward Member of Ward No. 04, Gram Panchayat Raj Bagaura, Block-Daraunda, District-Siwan.
8. Babita Devi, Wife of Praveen Mahto, resident of Ward No. 04 Gram Panchayat Raj Bagaura, Block-Daraunda, District-Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ajay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.S.K. Mandal (Sc 3)
		Mr. Arjun Prasad, AC to SC-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-02-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

"1. That, this is an application for issuance of appropriate writ (s) / direction (s) for quashing the order dated 30.09.2021 passed by the respondent no.4 in Anganbari Appeal Case No.58 of 2018-19 by which he has rejected the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 27.06.2018 passed by the respondent no. 5, by which the appointment of the petitioner as Anganbari



Sevika has been cancelled and also for quashing the order dated 27.06.2018 passed by the respondent no.5 in Anganbari Miscellaneous Case No.16 of 2018-19, by which the appointment of the petitioner as Anganbari Sevika has been cancelled and further for commanding the respondents to reinstate the petitioner as Anganbari Sevika at Ward no.04 Gram Panchayat Raj Bagaura, Block-Daraunda, District-Siwan with all consequential benefits."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned civil court of competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

