

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8026 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-407 Year-2022 Thana- BODHGAYA District- Gaya

Vikram Kumar @ Vikram Yadav S/O Naresh Yadav R/O Vill- Parewa, P.S.-
Bodhgaya, Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 19-04-2024 Heard Mr. Avinash Kumar, learned counsel appearing
on behalf of the petitioner and the learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Bodh Gaya P.S. Case No. 407 of 2022, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323,
337, 307, 427, 448, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Allegedly, the petitioner and other FIR named
accused persons as well as 10-15 unknown persons armed with
weapons came to the house of the informant and started abusing
and pelting stones. The accused persons also resorted firing. It is
further alleged that on account of the assault made by the
accused persons, the uncle of the informant has sustained head



injury.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that from the FIR it is evident that the son of the informant was firstly assaulted on 11.07.2022 for which no FIR has been instituted, however, on 13.07.2022 when the informant went to the house of the accused persons to make complaint about the previous incident, the said occurrence has taken place. He further submits that admittedly no injury has sustained either to the informant or his son, however, so far the injuries sustained to the uncle of the informant is concerned, the same has not been placed on record by the I.O. He next submitted that on account of some trifle, this occurrence has taken place, moreover, the petitioner undertakes that he will fully co-operate in the investigation or in the proceeding of the Court.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that the petitioner bears one criminal antecedent.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the omnibus nature of allegation and the undertaking that he will fully co-operate in the investigation or in the proceeding of the Court, let the



petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate – 1st Class, Gaya in connection with Bodh Gaya P.S. Case No. 407 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

