

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5418 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-112 Year-2023 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhagalpur

Fantush Tanti @ Abhishek Kumar F/O Mahesh Tanti R/O- Mohalla Surkhikal,
Bari Khanjarpur, Ps Barari, Distt.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Jagdhari Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 112 of 2023 lodged under sections 457
and 380 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has been
lodged against unknown accused persons against whom
allegation of committing theft of licenced revolver, gold and
silver jewellery, cash, adhaar card, ATM card and other articles
from the house of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He submits
that the alleged recovered revolver has been made from the
house of the petitioner. It has been alleged that the said recovery



has been made from the statement of one Mantu Yadav. Counsel further submits that during the said period, the said Mantu Yadav was in jail and this statement may not be replied upon.

5. Counsel for the petitioner submits that there are eight criminal cases pending against the petitioner in which he is on bail in six cases and in rest two cases, he is persuading for bail. He submits that petitioner is in custody since 08.05.2023 and charge-sheet has already been filed in this case.

6. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there are eight criminal cases pending against the petitioner, and therefore, this aspect may be taken into consideration.

7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected, but liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner that he may renew his prayer for bail 6 months after framing of charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Prakashmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

