

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4582 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2022 Thana- BADHAILA District- Rohtas

=====

Ajay Choudhary S/O Radha Choudhary RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-
BASANTPUT, P.S- SASARAM (MU), DISTRICT - ROHTAS AS
SASARAM

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Chhote Lal Mishra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Meena Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-02-2024 Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within

a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in

connection with Baghaila P.S. Case No. 96 of 2022, dated

05.11.2022 for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of

the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

4. As per prosecution case, total 16 litres of country

made wine has been recovered from the motorcycle.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted

that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner has been transpired because he is the owner of the said motorcycle but the same was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of alleged occurrence. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of *Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089*. The Full Bench in the case of *Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)* has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Baghaila P.S. Case No. 96 of 2022, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

