

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.214 of 2024

Dr. Kumar Raju son of Sri Bindeshwari Mistri, resident of Mohakamaghat,
Police Station-Mokama, District-Patna at present G. Shop Factory Durgapur,
Police Station-Katihar, District-Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Health, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
3. The District Magistrate cum President District Health Committee, Begusarai.
4. The Chief Medical Officer cum-Civil-Surgeon, Begusarai.
5. The Incharge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Samho, Akaha Kurha, Police Station-Samho, District-Begusarai.
6. The Incharge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Dandari, Police Station-Dandari, District-Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashi Dhar Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Government Pleader -11

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

*“1.(i) The order dated 02.02.2023 passed by the Service Grievance Redressal Officer, Begusarai under which he has dispose the petition for payment of arrears of salary since 04.05.2013 to 07.09.2015 of the petitioner without any reasons be quashed.
(ii) The order dated 15.05.2023 passed by the Appellate Authority (Service Grievance Redressal), Begusarai under which appeal filed against the aforesaid order dated 02.02.2023 has been disposed*



of without any reasons be quashed.

(iii) For a direction to the authority concern (Respondent No.3) to make payment of arrears of salary since 04.05.2013 to 07.09.2015 to the petitioner when petitioner was posted at Primary Health Centre Shamho & PHC Dandari with statutory interest.

(iv) For a direction to the State Health Authority to take legal as well as Departmental action against the erring officers who is responsible for non-payment of salary to the petitioner timely.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

