

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5385 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-350 Year-2022 Thana- SANGRAMPUR District- East
Champaran

=====

SANDEEP SAHANI @ SANDEEP KUMAR Son of Arjun Sahani Resident
of Village-Madhubani, P.S.-Sangrampur, District-East Champaran, Motihari
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 20-03-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sangrampur P.S. Case No. 350 of 2022 dated 21.10.2022
registered for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 304B,
302, 120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, learned counsel
appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner earlier
preferred Cr. Misc. No. 54705 of 2023 for the relief of regular
bail which was rejected by this court vide order dated
30.08.2023 and thereafter the petitioner has again come before
this court for the relief of bail mainly on the ground of his long
incarceration period as well as no progress in his trial. He
further submits that the petitioner has been languishing in jail
since 19.06.2023 and there is no progress in his case and till



date, charges have not been framed upon the petitioner and in fact, the informant's family member brought the dead body of the deceased and kept the body in the house of the petitioner in the night to falsely implicate the petitioner and his family members in the alleged crime.

4. Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer of the petitioner.

5. Considering the seriousness of the accusation appearing against the petitioner, this court is not persuaded to take a lenient approach towards the petitioner's prayer. Accordingly, his second prayer also stands rejected.

6. However, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and take steps to frame the charges upon him at the earliest and thereafter conclude his trial in the next one year. If the petitioner's trial is not concluded within the said period of one year or no significant progress is made in his trial then he may renew his bail prayer.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

