

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4343 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.358 of 2016

=====

Sadanand Mandal Son of Shri Asharfi Mandal Resident of Village and P.O.-
Sarauni Kala, Police Station- Bihariganj, District- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India, Through General Manager, Sri S. K. Rajoriya, Bihar State Office Indian Oil Corporation Limited (M.D.), Lok Nayak Jai Prakash Bhawan (5th Floor), Dak Bunglow Chouk, Patna- 800001.
2. Shri Anil Kumar, Sr. Divisional Ratail Sales Manager, Begusarai Divisional Office, P.O.- Barauni Oil Refinery, District- Begusarai (Bihar)- 851114.
3. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Sri Land Reforms Department, Patna.
4. Sri Nawdeep Shukla, The District Magistrate, Madhepura, District- Madhepura.
5. Sri Lalit Kumar Sinh, The D.C.L.R. Uda Kishunganj Sub-Division, District- Madhepura.
6. Sri Rabish Kumar, The Circle Officer, Gwalpara Anchal, District- Madhepura.
7. Sri Kapildeo Paswan, The Circle Inspector, Gwalpara Anchal, District- Madhepura.
8. Sri Kapildeo Paswan, The Revenue Karmchari, Saraunikala, Gram Panchayat, P.S.- Bihariganj, Anchal- Gwalpara, District- Madhepura.
9. Sri Mithilesh Kumar Gupta Son of Sri Ram Chandra Sah Resident of Village and P.O.- Sarauni Kala, Police Station- Bihariganj, District- Madhepura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kumar Ravi Shankar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam, AAG-12
For IOCL	:	Mr. Amlesh Kumar, Advocate
For Union of India	:	Mr. Ravi Kumar, CGC.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 06-03-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the State as

also learned counsel appearing on behalf of Indian Oil

Corporation.



2. The allegation of the petitioner in the writ Court against respondent no.9, Mithilesh Kumar Gupta was to be examined by the respondents as directed by the writ Court.

3. The show cause(s) have been filed both by the State as also Indian Oil Corporation, according to which, they have made complete enquiry and as per the report of District Administration, the land belongs to the petitioner and credentials are good.

4. In that view of the matter, the claim of the petitioner of examination of credential of respondent no.9 having been examined and the opposite party satisfied, so far as the MJC No. 4343 of 2018 is concerned, the same needs no further movement, accordingly, disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

