

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1237 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-312 Year-2021 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Samastipur

=====

PARMESHWAR SINGH @ MUNNA SINGH @ PRAMESHWAR SINGH
SON OF LATE BACHU SINGH Resident of Village- Navtoliya Narhan,
Ward No.14, Police Station - Bibhutipur, District - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Lakshmindra Kumar Yadav, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 312 of 2021 dated 03.12.2021 for the offence/s punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 4 litres of illicit country made liquor was recovered from the back side of the tea shop of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. As per FIR, the recovery



was made from the back side of the shop but as per seizure list the recovery was made from the tea shop which creates doubt on the prosecution case. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on



anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/-
(Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned,
Samastipur in connection with Excise P.S. Case No. 312 of
2021, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of
the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

guddukr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

