

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Letters Patent Appeal No.1545 of 2019**  
**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16996 of 2011**

=====

Qyamruddin Ansari S/o Late Abdul Qayum Ansari Resident of Village-  
Diwan, P.S.- Karai Parsurai, Distt.- Nalanda

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
2. The Head Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna  
Viswaraiya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Joint Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar,  
Vishwaraiya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. The Engineer- in- Chief cum Additional Commissioner cum Special  
Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna  
Vishwaraiya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
5. The Chief Engineer IV (H.Q.), Rural Works Department, Government of  
Bihar, Patna Vishwaraiya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
6. The Deputy Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar,  
Patna Vishwaraiya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.
7. The Under Secretary, Rural Works Department, Government of Bihar, Patna  
Vishwaraiya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Bailey Road, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Shashi Chandra Pandey, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Pushkar Narain Shahi (Aag6)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)**

**Date : 21-02-2024**



**Re: I.A. No. 01 of 2023**

Heard I.A. No. 01 of 2023 for condonation of delay in filing the present LPA No. 1545 of 2019. For the reasons stated in the application and affidavit, there is a delay of one day in filing LPA and it is condoned. Accordingly, I.A. No. 01 of 2023 stands allowed.

2. With the consent of the learned counsels for the respective parties, the present LPA is taken up for disposal.

3. Appellant was stated to have been appointed on *ad hoc* basis against Class IV on 29.10.1986 his services were terminated on 30.07.1998.

4. Perusal of the records, it is evident that there is no challenge to the order of termination dated 30.07.1998. In the absence of challenge to the termination order and re-instate into service, question of regularisation of appellant services is not warranted. These dates and events have been taken note of by the learned Single Judge. The appellant has not apprise this Court, what is the error committed by the learned Single Judge while passing order on 21.10.2019 in CWJC No. 16996 of 2011.

5. In the light of these facts and circumstances, the appellant has not made out a case so as to interfere with the order



of learned Single Judge dated 21.10.2019 passed in CWJC No. 16996 of 2011.

6. Accordingly, the present LPA No. 1545 of 2019 stands dismissed.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

( Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

abhishekk/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 26.02.2024 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

