

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5748 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-86 Year-2023 Thana- DIGHALBANK District- Kishanganj

1. Tantani Giri @ Dev Kumar Giri Son Of Amin Giri @ Amin Prasad Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
2. Panpani Giri @ Krishna Prasad Giri Son Of Amin Giri @ Amin Prasad Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
3. Shankar Giri @ Shankar Prasad Giri Son Of Amin Giri @ Amin Prasad Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
4. Gauri Prasad Giri @ Gauri Giri Son Of Amin Giri @ Amin Prasad Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
5. Basant Giri @ Basant Prasad Giri Son Of Amin Giri @ Amin Prasad Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
6. Khuri Devi @ Anbatti Devi Wife Of Tantani Giri @ Dev Kumar Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
7. Dhanpati Devi @ Dhanwati Devi Wife Of Tantani Giri @ Dev Kumar Giri (2ND Wife) Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
8. Mina Devi Wife Of Panpani Giri @ Krishna Prasad Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
9. Ganita Devi Wife Of Shankar Giri @ Shankar Prasad Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj
10. Amin Giri @ Amin Prasad Giri Son Of Late Bhajan Lal Giri Vill-Panchgachhi, Ps-Dighalbank, Kishanganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ram Prawesh Kumar
For the State	:	Mr. Jai Narain Thakur
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bhubneshwar Mahto

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 20-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498A,
304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of D.P.



Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners along with other accused persons are said to have murdered the daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of the dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He submits that police after investigation submitted final form against the petitioners but the learned Court below differed the final form and took cognizance against the petitioners. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned



lower Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Dighalbank P.S. Case No.86 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The petitioners are directed to co-operate in the trial.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

