

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2287 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-222 Year-2023 Thana- BARGAINIA District- Sitamarhi

Ram Babu Yadav @ Rambabu SON. OF CHULHAI RAY R/o- OF.
VILLAGE-MASHA ALAM WARD NO, -03-PS.- Bairgania, Distt- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subodh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bairgania P.S. Case No. 222 of 2023, dated 21.09.2023 for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 281.5 litres of Nepali Saufi liquor has been recovered from the house of the co-accused namely Alok Kumar and he disclosed that the petitioner along with his family members used to bring the liquor from Nepal.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case. Petitioner has four criminal antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition in which he is on bail. The name of the petitioner was disclosed by the co-accused namely Alok Kumar. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089***. The Full Bench in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)*** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.



20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Sitamarhi in connection with Bairgania P.S. Case No. 222 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

