

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9568 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-254 Year-2020 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

1. Ramnandan Kamat @ Ramanand Mandal @ Ramanand Kamat Son Of Late Chhutahru Kamat Resident Of Village- Veena Ward No. 06, Ps- Supaul, Dist- Supaul
2. Kailu Mandal Son Of Kailu Kamat Resident Of Village- Veena Ward No. 06, Ps- Supaul, Dist- Supaul
3. Bechan Kamat Son Of Late Ravi Kamat Resident Of Village- Veena Ward No. 06, Ps- Supaul, Dist- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kuldeep Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 26-04-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 144, 149, 341, 323, 504, 354(B), 379, 308 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner no.1 is alleged to have assaulted the informant by farsa causing injury on head, while



petitioner no.2 is alleged to have dashed the aunt of informant on the ground and snatched chain, while petitioner no.3 is alleged to have assaulted the grand mother of the informant by Dabiya near the eye. The learned counsel next submits that from perusal of the injury report, it would manifest that aunt and grand mother of the informant have received simple injury by hard and blunt substance when informant alleges that petitioner no.3 assaulted his grand mother by Dabiya. It is further submitted that there is no injury report of the informant on record. It is also submitted that he has instructions to make submission on behalf of the petitioner that informant did not suffer any kind of injury.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Supaul P.S.



Case No.254/2020, subject to the conditions as laid down under
Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. However, the learned trial court before accepting
the bail bonds of petitioner no.1 Ramnandan Kamat @
Ramanand Mandal @ Ramanand Kamat shall verify the injury
report of the informant and in the event if it is found that
informant suffered injury either grievous or simple, in that
event, the bail bonds of petitioner no.1 shall not be accepted but
if the informant has not suffered any injury, the bail bonds of
petitioner no.1 shall be accepted forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

