

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85434 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-508 Year-2023 Thana- KUDHNI District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Randhir Kumar S/O Dilip Thakur R/O Village- Dhodhi Anandkar, P.S-
Kudhani, Distt.- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kudhani (Fakuli OP) P.S. Case No. 508 of 2023 dated 24.09.2023 for the offences punishable u/ss 272, 273 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 3550.080 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the truck. It is further alleged that the petitioner is engaged in illegal business of liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The



petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The name of the petitioner has surfaced on the basis of confessional statement of the apprehended person. The petitioner is not the owner of the said vehicle and the said vehicle was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The co-accused person has already been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide order dated 05.01.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 79208 of 2023. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Muzaffarpur in connection with Kudhani (Fakuli OP) P.S. Case No. 508 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U			
---	--	--	--

