

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3168 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2023 Thana- BRAHMPUR District- Buxar

VIKASH PATEL @ VIKASH CHOUDHARY S/O BIRENDRA
CHOUDHARY R/O VILLAGE- CHHOTKA VISHUPUR, P.S- NAINIJOR
(BRAHMPUR), DISTT.- BUXAR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Shankar Pathak

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2023 in connection with Brahmpur P.S. Case No. 151 of 2023 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 363/366 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 8 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and two others are said to have forcibly taken away the victim.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is delay in lodging the F.I.R. It is also submitted that the victim was in love with the petitioner. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on



behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. He further submits that the victim has supported the allegation and has also said that the petitioner had taken the victim to Surat and had established sexual relationship with her.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the victim girl was taken by the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant him bail.

7. Accordingly, this application for grant of bail is dismissed.

8. The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. The petitioner is at liberty to renew his prayer for bail if the trial is delayed because of the prosecution.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

