

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2199 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2017 Thana- AWTARNAGAR District- Saran

Rakesh Kumar Singh @ Rakesh Kumar S/o Kameshwar Singh Resident of
Village-Raj Patti, Dighwara, P.S.-Dighwara, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raushan Raj

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Dayal

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Awatar Nagar P.S. Case No. 48 of 2017, dated 23.04.2017 for the offences punishable under Sections 188, 272, 273 of the Indian Penal Code and under sections 30, 38, 41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. As per prosecution case, total 40 litres of country made liquor has been recovered from a motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Nothing incriminating material has been



recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out against the petitioner. Petitioner is the owner of the seized motorcycle but the same was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of alleged recovery. Learned counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089***. The Full Bench in the case of ***Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)*** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court



concerned, Saran at Chapra in connection with Awatar Nagar
P.S. Case No. 48 of 2017, subject to conditions as laid down
under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

