

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85819 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-550 Year-2024 Thana- DHANARUA District- Patna

Ravi Ranjan @ Ranjan Deshmukha Son of Sri Raj Kumar Yadav Resident of
village- Dighawan police station-Masaurirhi District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 16-12-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Dhanarua P.S. Case No. 550 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per FIR, the petitioner is accused of giving contract to the apprehended co-accused to kill one Arun, the owner of the alleged petrol pump, who is residing in Pothahi.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. No such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. He has falsely been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and



based on concocted facts. He has no concern with co-accused Bittu Kumar and other accused persons. He has not transferred a single penny to co-accused Bittu Kumar and others for killing any person. There is enmity between the petitioner and one Arun Kumar regarding the matter of petrol pump, Masaurhi. As per agreement and compromise petition, neither Nitu Kumari nor her husband has given the agreed amount to the petitioner till date, therefore, 45% share of the ownership of the petrol pump has not been transferred to Nitu Kumari by the petitioner. Thereafter, only with a view to mount pressure upon the petitioner, his name has been transpired in the present case in collusion of the police. It is further submitted that there is nothing on record to indicate the complicity of the petitioner barring the confessional statement of the apprehended person, namely, Bittu Kumar which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has one criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.



7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the learned Court below within six weeks from today and seeks regular bail, the learned Court below shall pass order on the same day in accordance with law without being prejudiced by this order.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

