

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.429 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-54 Year-2020 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Saran

1. RAJ KISHOR SINGH SON OF LATE BENGALI SINGH Resident of Village - Kashinpur, P.S. - Amnaur, District - Saran at present R/O M.C.F. 21/15, Street No. 36, Sanjay Colony, Sector 23, Near A.P. High School, Faridabad, Hariyana
2. ARTI SINGH @ ARTI SINHA WIFE OF RAJ KISHOR SINGH Resident of Village - Kashinpur, P.S. - Amnaur, District - Saran at present R/O M.C.F. 21/15, Street No. 36, Sanjay Colony, Sector 23, Near A.P. High School, Faridabad, Hariyana
3. PRAKASH KUMAR SON OF RAJ KISHOR SINGH Resident of Village - Kashinpur, P.S. - Amnaur, District - Saran at present R/O M.C.F. 21/15, Street No. 36, Sanjay Colony, Sector 23, Near A.P. High School, Faridabad, Hariyana
4. KAJAL @ KAJAL KUMARI DAUGHTER OF RAJ KISHOR SINGH Resident of Village - Kashinpur, P.S. - Amnaur, District - Saran at present R/O M.C.F. 21/15, Street No. 36, Sanjay Colony, Sector 23, Near A.P. High School, Faridabad, Hariyana

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. RINA KUMARI @ KHUSHBU DAUGHTER OF NAND KISHOR PRASAD RESIDENT OF VILLAGE - SALEMPUR, POST - SAHEBGANJ, P.S. - CHAPRA TOWN, DISTRICT - SARAN

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Murari Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 02-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a complaint case punishable for the offence under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 / 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Allegation against petitioners is of commission of torture and cruelty to the informant/O.P.-2 for dowry.



4. Petitioner no. 1 is father-in-law, petitioner no. 2 is mother-in-law, petitioner no. 3 is brother-in-law (Devar) and petitioner no. 4 is unmarried sister-in-law (Nanad) of the informant. Thrust of the accusation is against husband of the informant. Petitioners are separate in mess and property and have got no concern with the family affairs of informant and her husband.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of petitioners.

6. However, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioners, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Chapra (Saran) in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 54 of 2020, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

