

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6445 of 2018

Dr. Parasnath Singh Alias Parash Nath Singh Son of Late Ram Deni Singh,
Resident of Sheo Kunj, New Area, Sikandarpur, P.S. and District-
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Joint Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Additional Secretary, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
6. The Joint Director, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Regional Director, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resources Department, Magadh Range, Gaya.
8. The Assistant Director Animal Development, Laghu Pashu Vikas Pariyojna Head Quarter Level, Nawad
9. The District Animal Husbandry Officer, Nawada.
10. The Secretary, Central Examination Board, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Resources, Govt. of Bihar,

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amitesh Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 26-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for
quashing the letter issued vide Memo No. 4160 dated



01.09.2008 under the signature of respondent No.4 as well as Letter No. 2306 dated 29.08.2012.

3. Learned counsel for the State raised a preliminary objection and submits that exactly with similar prayer, the petitioner had earlier moved before this Hon'ble Court in CWJC No. 12713 of 2013 challenging the same order in which the following order has been passed vide order dated 28.09.2016 :-

“In view of the decision of the Secretary to the Government of Bihar vide memo no. 4160 dated 1.9.2008 and memo no.2306 dated 29.8.2012, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition with a liberty to challenge the same in the appropriate proceeding.

Permission is accorded.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

4. Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner in instead of availing liberty granted by this Hon'ble Court in CWJC No. 12713 of 2013 has again filed the present writ petition with exactly similar prayer.

5. In this view of the matter, it transpires to this Court that the petitioner has no respect for law. As such, the writ petition stands dismissed.

6. However, it shall be open for the petitioner that he



may avail liberty granted in CWJC No. 12713 of 2013.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U			
---	--	--	--

