

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84767 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-311 Year-2023 Thana- GAIGHAT District- Muzaffarpur

Md. Sameer, aged about 31 years (male), S/O Md. Chan Khan Resident Of
Village- Bela Nauagadhi, P.S.- L.N. Mithila University, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hari Kishore Thakur, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Mrityunjaya Kr. Gautam, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 23-01-2024 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to

remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any,

within a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in

connection with Gaighat P.S Case No. 311 of 2023 dated

08.08.2023 for the offences punishable u/ss 272, 273, 420,

467, 468, 471 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code and

30(a), 32, 36 and 41(i) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise

Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 151.92 litres



of illicit liquor was recovered from the Chevrolet car which belonged to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the owner of the said vehicle but the same was not being driven by the petitioner at the time of the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by



submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Muzaffarpur in connection with Gaighat P.S Case No. 311 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Nilmani/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

