

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18342 of 2023

=====

Durganand Yadav S/o Bechu Yadav, resident of Village - Vayasi, Ward No. 5,
Police Station - Karjaiene, District - Supaul, (Bihar), Adhar No.
760897010660.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Vigilance Department, Government Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
5. The District Education Officer, Supaul.
6. The District Program Officer, Supaul.
7. The Block Development Officer, Raghapur, (Supaul).
8. The Block Education Officer, Raghapur, Supaul.
9. The Sub Divisional Officer, Birpur, Supaul.
10. The Head Master, Mr. Uday Prakash Narayan S/o Pulkit Bhadeshwar Yadav, Navshrijit Primary School, Yadav Tola, Bashi, Ward No. 10, Block - Raghapur, Supaul, resident of Village and Post Bayashi, Ward No. 05, Block Raghapur, District - Supaul.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pramod Kumar Chakravarti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Raghwendra Kumar (Sc22)
For Vigilance	:	Mr.Rana Vikram Singh, Spl.PP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 21-06-2024

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“That this is an application for issuance of an appropriate writ/order/orders/direction to the respondents to lodge the F.I.R. against the Respondent No. 10 Head Master Sri Uday Prakash Narayan S/o Pulkit Bhadeshwar, Navshrijit Prathmik School Yadav Tola, Vayasi Ward No. 10 his associate on the basis of Letter



No. 693 dated 25.10.2022 by the District Program Officer, Supaul to the Respondent and also to taken necessary legal action against the Respondents. There has keep pending (a) thrown in dustbin. The aforesaid letter. Annexure- P/7 before C.W.J.C. No. 15459 of 2014 Hon'ble High Court Patna pass order dated 05.12.2016 by the State as teachers such as senior secondary teachers, elementary teachers, librarians and some of candidates, so appointed have submitted forged certificate. This court has issued direction from time to time to the vigilance department to carry out of the investigation (Annexure-P/1).”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, for redressal of his aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

