

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83420 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2024 Thana- Panchanpur District- Gaya

Bhunnu Yadav @ Tunu @ Arvind Kumar @ Arvindra Yadav @ Bhunnu Son
of Jagdish Yadav Resident of Village- Malsari, P.S. Panchanpur, District-
Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 06-12-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and
Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner had earlier moved seeking anticipatory bail by filing
Cr. Misc. No.39292/2024 and the same was allowed by an order
dated 28.06.2024 with a condition that the learned trial court
before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the
criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is
found that the petitioner has antecedent of even one case in that
event the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect
to. It is next submitted that thereafter the petitioner again filed
Cr. Misc. No.61929/2024 and the same came to be rejected by



an order dated 31.08.2024 as the petitioner earlier had obtained anticipatory bail by concealing his antecedent. However, liberty was given to the petitioner to renew his prayer after framing of charge. The learned counsel submits that charges against the petitioner has been framed on 30.09.2024 and the petitioner is in custody since 13.07.2024.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Panchanpur P.S. Case No.13/2024.

6. However, if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay the trial in any manner, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

