

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83629 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-740 Year-2023 Thana- BHABHUA District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

=====

MUKESH KUMAR SON OF RAMAKANT SINGH RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE -RAMPUR, P.O -RUPPUR,P.S.- BHABUA, DISTRICT-
KAIMUR AT BHABUA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. MAMTA KUMARI WIFE OF MUKESH KUMAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE - RAMPUR, P.O- RUPPUR, P.S- BHABUA, DISTRICT-
KAIMUR AT BHABUA

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Shyam Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 07-03-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bhabua P.S. Case No. 740 of 2023 for the offence under Sections 323 and 498A of the I.P.C. and Section 4/5 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, lodged on 11.08.2023 by the informant, Mamta Kumari.

3. As per the prosecution story, the complainant/informant alleged that she was married to this petitioner but was tortured for dowry and just four days after the marriage, her family members were abused when they visited her in-laws house. The goods sent by them were



thrown out and further, she was prevented to meet them.
The further allegation is that on 07.06.2023, she was ousted from the house and is presently staying with her parents.

4. In this case, the matter was sent to the Mediation Center on 24th of January, 2024 and a report of the Mediator is on record which read as follows:

“MEDIATOR’S REPORT
Mediation Proceeding No. 57 of 2024
Arising out of Criminal Miscellaneous No. 83629 of
2023

Mukesh Kumar

Versus

The State of Bihar & Anr

Inspite of my best and sincere efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation.

Hence, mediation has failed.

(Prashant Sinha)
Mediator”

5. The lady has appeared in person and has narrated her ordeal.

6. Considering the kind of allegation that the lady has made, it would be appropriate that he seeks bail.



7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner stands rejected.

8. The interim order dated 09.01.2024 is vacated.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

