

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No 6158 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2023 Thana- PIRO District- Bhojpur

SANDEEP SINGH SON OF UDAY YADAV R/O VILLAGE- CHEDI TOLA
(JITAURA), P.S.- PIRO, DIST.- BHOJPUR

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. AYODHYA SINGH SON OF VASID SINGH R/O VILL.- KAHUARA,
P.S.- VIKRAMGUNJ, DIST.- ROHTAS

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr Amitabh Sohan, Advocate
For the S t a t e	:	Mr Tarun Prasad Mandal, APP
For the I n f o r m a n t	:	Mr N K Agrawal, Sr Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

4 26-04-2024 Heard Mr Amitabh Sohan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, Mr N K Agrawal, learned senior counsel for the informant and the learned APP.

2 The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Piro PS Case No 35 of 2023 instituted under Sections 304B, 201, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3 As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that the victim lady was married to this petitioner in the year, 2017 but was allegedly tortured for dowry and in the year 2019, she was brutally assaulted. Though, later on, family patched up the matter and a male child was also born to the couple but the atrocities continued and allegation is that on 26.01.2023, the



lady was killed and further, without waiting for the family members, the mortal remains was/were consigned to flames. Accordingly, the FIR.

4 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already suffered by remaining in custody since 02.02.2023 (Paragraph 22 of the petition) and he has no criminal antecedent and there is no sign of the trial being concluded in near future.

5 Learned senior counsel for the informant submits that it is due to the delay tactics of the petitioner's family which resulted in non-conclusion of the trial. If the accused persons cooperate, the trial may come to its logical conclusion within a period of three months.

6 Learned APP opposed the submission put forth by the learned counsel for the informant.

7 Taking into account the aforesaid facts as also the contention of the learned Senior Counsel that the trial is moving on smoothly and the same is likely to be concluded in three months, for the present, this Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of bail to the petitioner which is, accordingly, rejected.

8 In view of the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 02.02.2023, the trial Court is directed to ensure that the



trial is concluded within a period of three months from today.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

M.E.H./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

