

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1439 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2023 Thana- KORHA District- Katihar

-
1. Bablu Hansda @ Bablu Tuddu Son Of Late Lakhan Hansda R/O Village- Kolasi Santhali Tola, P.S.- Korha, Dist.- Katihar
 2. Bir Kumar Hansda @ Sushil Kumar Hembram @ Bir Kumar Hembram Son Of Late Mangal Hembram R/O Village- Kolasi Santhali Tola, P.S.- Korha, Dist.- Katihar
 3. Lalit Hansda @ Lalit Hembram Son Of Late Mangal Hembram R/O Village- Kolasi Santhali Tola, P.S.- Korha, Dist.- Katihar
 4. Babu Lal Soren @ Babu Lal Murmu Son Of Late Meghrai Hansda R/O Village- Kolasi Santhali Tola, P.S.- Korha, Dist.- Katihar
 5. Nand Lal Pradhan @ Nand Lal Marandi Son Of Late Sriman Hansda R/O Village- Kolasi Santhali Tola, P.S.- Korha, Dist.- Katihar
 6. Chhotu Hansda Son of Ram Hansda R/O Village- Kolasi Santhali Tola, P.S.- Korha, Dist.- Katihar
 7. Sandeep Murmu @ Sandeep Kumar Murmu Son Of Chandrarai Murmu R/O Village- Kolasi Santhali Tola, P.S.- Korha, Dist.- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyam Bihari Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 31-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioners, in the present case, are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Korha P.S. Case No.132 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 384 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedent.

3. As per the prosecution story, the allegation against the petitioners is that they had stopped the informant from making a boundary wall on the piece of land and had demanded Rs.1 lac as ransom.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel submits that the allegations are general and omnibus in nature and the petitioners have otherwise no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case wherein it is submitted that these petitioners are the members of the local tribe and as per the allegations they had stopped the informant from making a boundary wall on the piece of land and had demanded Rs.1 lac as ransom, the allegations are general and omnibus in nature and the petitioners have otherwise no criminal antecedent, this Court directs that in case of their arrest/surrender within a period of four weeks from today, let the petitioners above-named be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of

learned C.J.M., Katihar in connection with Korha P.S. Case No. 132 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

8. This application stands allowed.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--