

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.83072 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2023 Thana- MADHEPUR District- Madhubani

Sonu Kumar Son Of Kushe Yadav Resident Of Village- Bishanpur, P.S.-  
Madhepur, District- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Kumar Bharti

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Naresh Ray

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      19-01-2024                      Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to  
remove the defect(s), as pointed out by the office, if any, within  
a period of three weeks from today.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in  
connection with Madhepur P.S. Case No. 189 of 2023 dated  
02.08.2023 for the offences punishable u/s 272 and 273 of the  
IPC and Sections 30(a) and 47 of the Bihar Prohibition and  
Excise Act.

4. As per the prosecution case, total 50 litres of illicit  
liquor has been recovered from the two motorcycles.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted



that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated at para 3 of the bail petition. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. The petitioner is the owner of one the said motorcycles but the said motorcycle was not being driven by the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his



arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Jhanjharpur, Madhubani in connection with Madhepur P.S. Case No. 189 of 2023, subject to conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

atul/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

