

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81495 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-421 Year-2022 Thana- SHAHPUR PATORI District- Samastipur

1. Ragni Kumari @ Ragini Kumari D/O Late Triloki Ray R/O Village- Utri Dhamuan, P.S- Patori, Distt.- Samastipur.
2. Rohit Kumar S/O Late Triloki Ray R/O Village- Utri Dhamuan, P.S- Patori, Distt.- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bijay Bhushan Prasad
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr. Ajeet Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 25-06-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence under Sections 341, 342, 323, 384, 386, 379, 392 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 27 of the Arms Act and Section 67 of the Information & Technology (Amendment) Act, 2000.

3. As per F.I.R., allegation against petitioners is that on the point of pistol, they demanded Rs. five lacs from the informant, as *Rangdari*, snatched cash of Rs. Fifty thousand and one gold chain from the informant and thereafter, they abused and threatened the informant from different mobile numbers to face dire consequences.



4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. As a matter of fact, petitioner no. 1 (Ragini Kumari) and brother-in-law of informant were in love since five years and they have entered into marriage at Delhi, but after returning from there when she came at her matrimonial house, she was ill-treated by her in-laws members including the informant, who happens to be *Bhaisur* of petitioner no. 1, and lastly, petitioner no. 1 was ousted from her matrimonial house, then she lodged a complaint against her husband, Bhaisur (informant of this case) and other family members, vide Complaint Case No. 232 of 2022, on the basis of which, Shahpur Patori P.S. Case No. 235 of 2022 was lodged (Annexure P/2) and only with a view to save skin from that case, the informant has lodged present case. He further submits that since petitioner no. 2 is own brother of petitioner no. 1, he has been dragged in the present case. In fact, no such occurrence, as alleged in the present F.I.R., has ever taken place. Petitioner no. 1 is *Bhabhi* of younger brother of informant and due to petty family feud, this false and concocted case has been lodged.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition.



6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the above named petitioners, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Samastipur in connection with Shahpur Patori P.S. Case No. 421 of 2022, subject to condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

