

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18622 of 2024**

Abhay Kumar Son of Late Umesh Prasad Singh Resident of- Narauli,  
Sindhaul, District Nawada, Bihar- 805122.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Principal Secretary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Nawada.
5. The Block Education Officer, Sirdala, Nawada.
6. The Block Development Officer-cum-The Secretary, Block Employment Unit, Sirdala Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Isshan Siingh  
For the Respondent/s : AC to GA-2

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      10-12-2024              1.      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
AC to GA-2.

2.      Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant writ application has been filed seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to take appropriate steps and decision in light of the direction of the Hon'ble Full Bench of this Court passed in LPA No. 748 of 2022 along with other analogous cases, wherein the petitioner's LPA bearing No. 797 of 2022 was also tagged along with the said LPA No. 748 of 2022.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that the order passed in LPA No. 748 of 2022 along with other analogous cases, including LPA No. 797 of 2022 is not being complied by the authorities, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that order of the Hon'ble Full Bench is not being complied by the authorities, in that event, the petitioner ought to have moved by filing an appropriate case before an appropriate forum instead of moving before a writ court, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the writ application with liberty to avail his remedy, in accordance with law.

4. Permission is accorded.

5. Accordingly, the instant writ application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

SUMIT/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

